

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

TWENTY-EIGHTH DAY.

Tuesday, 30th July 1957.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. R. KANTHI, B.A., LL.B.) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

MR. SPEAKER.—Question No. 140.
The Hon'ble Minister is not present.
We will take it up later.

SRI V. S. PATIL.—May I know the extent of the properties that have come to the shares of these various persons?

SRI T. MARIAPPA.—I want notice.

Division of Properties by the Planters in Hassan District.

Q.—167. Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

the number of planters who effected division of their properties in the name of their sons and brothers in Hassan District for the last two years?

A.—SRI T. MARIAPPA (Minister for Finance).—

Six.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅರು ಜನರೆಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳೋಣವಾಗಿದೆ, ಏಕೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಭಾಗ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡರೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಇಂಥಾ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಊಹೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದೂ, ಹೀಗೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಚಿಕ್ಕದು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದೂ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ನಾವು, ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹೀಗೆ ಊಹೆಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಪದಗಳನ್ನೇನೂ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಲ್ಲ.

SRI J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Is it not the legal right of these people to divide them?

SRI T. MARIAPPA.—That is obvious.

Disturbances in Belgaum during the celebrations of Shivaji Jayanthi

Q.—140. Sri V. S. PATIL (Belgaum).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether a big procession was taken out on the 3rd of May 1957 at Belgaum in connection with Shivaji Jayanthi celebrations;

(b) whether they are aware that some Muslims suddenly attacked the said procession near Jinna Chowk and caused injuries to the persons in the procession;

(c) whether they are aware that the Congress Committee members encouraged the Muslims to create trouble in this procession?

A.—SRI C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(a) Yes.

(b) There was a scuffle in front of the mosque at Jinna Chowk. Six persons are standing trial in Court and the case is *sub judice*.

(c) No.

SRI V. S. PATIL.—Sir, is it a fact that the Police were in this procession accompanying from the beginning to the end?

*Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Some constables and junior officers were accompanying this procession.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Is Government aware that during the whole of this procession, even the D.S.P. and D.M. were in the procession along with the people?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I have no clear information with me on that point at the moment.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Is Government aware that the routes through which this procession had to pass through were approved and guarded by the police?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I want notice.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—The Hon'ble Minister has referred to one incident. There was another incident at the end of this procession. Has it been brought to the notice of the Hon'ble Minister or not?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Not exactly at the end of the procession, Sir. But when the parties were returning back, there was an incident.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—In this latter incident, was there stabbing and some persons were injured?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Yes, Sir. Two cases of stabbing were reported.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—How many persons were injured?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I think, Sir, about two.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—For the information of the Hon'ble Minister, it appears that he has not been given complete information. Six persons were injured before the Mosque and when there was..

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member need not give information. He may only take information.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Is Government aware that the place where this latter incident took place was before a Mosque and that mosque was guarded by some Police Constables?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This case is *sub judice*.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I am not referring to the case at all. I will not touch on any point which will come in the way of the judicial proceedings. I am asking a question about the place.

Mr. SPEAKER.—But the place where the scuffle took place is a relevant point in the Court.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—The case is pending, Sir. But I am asking whether the Police have done their duty.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Even that will prejudice the case.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Whether the Police have done their duty has nothing to do with the case. This is quite independent.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, the Hon'ble Member is a wise lawyer. I know he wants to get information from the Government to use in the case.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Is it a fact that all those persons who were injured are Maharastrians?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I have no information.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI (*In Marathi*).—Is it a fact that lathis and other materials in the Mosque were taken possession of by the Police?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This case is *sub judice* and therefore no such questions can be asked.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI (*In Marathi*).—Is it a fact that the persons of the Congress Committee had admitted in the meeting held at the Collector's Office that they were present in the Mosque?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is outside the scope of the question.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI (*In Marathi*).—Is Government of the opinion that since the Congress Committee persons have admitted that they were present in the Mosque, there is every ground to suspect that they had a hand in this matter?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question has already been replied.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Is Government aware, Sir, that immediately after this incident referred to in this question and answer, the Home Guards Commandant

* Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

and the Police Prosecutor were in this Musjid drafting the complaint against the processionists?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I have not got that information.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Is it not a fact that the representatives of Congressmen instigated the Muslims to create trouble at a meeting called by the citizens?

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is outside the scope of the question. This refers only to the disturbances in Belgaum during the celebrations of Shivaji Jayanthi. You are asking information which is outside the scope of the question.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Sir, the question asked by my friend was in the original question that I sent up. But that question has been omitted here.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What was irrelevant before is irrelevant even now.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI (*In Marathi*).—Is it a fact that when the procession was proceeding near the Mosque some people from the upper floor of the Mosque were supplying lathis to the people on the ground floor?

Mr. SPEAKER.—As this case is *sub judice* this question cannot be asked.

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Is the Government aware that the defiant and violent demonstration of the Maharashtrians was responsible for the disturbances?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That cannot be answered now.

ಒಬ್ಬ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು.—ಈ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆ ಹೊರಟಾಗ ಅದು ಇಂಥ ಒಣಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ಹಾಯ್ದು ಹೋಗಿ ಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಜ್ಜೆಯಿತ್ತೇನು?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನಾಗಲೇ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಯು ಯಾವ ಹಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ನೇಮಿತರೂ ಅದೇ ಹಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Is the Government aware that the processionists requested the police to go by another route but the police refused to give permission?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಛ.—ಅವರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ವಾಸ್ತವಾಂಶವಲ್ಲ. ವರ್ಷಂಪ್ರತಿ ಈ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಬೀದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತೋ ಆ ಬೀದಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬೇರೆ ಹೊಸ ಬೀದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತೆಂದು ನನಗೆ ವರ್ತಮಾನ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಯವರು ಇಂಥ ದಾರಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ಗಲಾಟೆಯೂ ಆಗದಿರುವಂತೆ ಕಂಚೋಲಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ಟ್ರಾಫಿಕ್‌ನ್ನು ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಲು ತಾವೇನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ನಿಗಾವಣೆಯನ್ನು ಆ ದಿವಸ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಛ.—ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮುಂಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನೂ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಗಲಭೆ ನಡೆಯಲು ಏಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಯಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಛ.—ಆ ಕೇಸು ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಯಾವ ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಈಗ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri A. R. PANCHAGAVI.—Is the Government aware that the route was purposely changed by the processionists with a view to pass through the Muslim locality?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That is the inference that can be drawn. But I am unable to vouch for it.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—May I know whether *Namaz* was going on when the processionists passed through the Mosque road?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is *sub judice*.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆ ಆ ದಿವಸ ನಿಗದಿಯಾದ ಹಾದಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಉನ್ನುವಾರಿ ಇದ್ದ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನಿಗಾ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳದಿದ್ದುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವೇನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಛ.—ನಿಗದಿಯಾದ ದಾರಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ಹೋಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ತೀರಾ ಹಿಂದೆ ಬರುವ ತಿದ್ದುವ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊನೆಯ ಘಳಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗಲಾಟೆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಪೊಲೀಸಿನವರ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವೇನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಿರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪೂಣಚ್ಛ.—ಹಾಗೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭವೇ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Profit and loss of Kolar Gold Mines after nationalisation.

Q.—203. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) when were the Gold Mines in K.G.F. nationalised;

(b) the profits earned or losses incurred ever since?